



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/924,391	08/07/2001	Tal Givoly	XACTP001	6261
28875 7	7590 06/29/2005		EXAMINER	
Zilka-Kotab, PC P.O. BOX 721120			TRAN, PHILIP B	
	A 95172-1120		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2155	_
		DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/924,391	GIVOLY, TAL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Philip B. Tran	2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Fe 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7-11,13,14,16-20 and 23</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7-11,13,14,16-20 and 23</u> is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. rejected.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:					

Serial Number: 09/924,391 Page 2

Art Unit: 2155 Paper No. 17

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is in response to amendment filed on 17 February 2005. Claims 1, 11, 20 and 23 have been amended. Claims 2, 6, 12, 15, and 21-22 have been canceled. Therefore, claims 1, 3-5, 7-11, 13-14, 16-20 and 23 are pending for further examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-11, 13-14, 16-20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conklin et al (Hereafter, Conklin), U.S. Pat. No. 5,991,881 in view of Engel et al (Hereafter, Engel), U.S. Pat. No. 6,115,393.

Regarding claim 1, Conklin teaches a method for processing network accounting information, comprising receiving accounting information over a packet-switched network, monitoring at least one aspect of the received accounting information (= traffic information including attack data such as date/time, packet type, attack type source/destination addresses) [see Fig. 7], and after receiving the accounting information, discarding at least a portion of the accounting information based on the monitored aspect (i.e., network traffic measurement and monitoring for reporting information about captured packets and detecting intrusion into the network and into

Serial Number: 09/924,391 Page 3

Art Unit: 2155 Paper No. 17

computers connected to the network for denial of service) [see Abstract and Figs. 6-9 and Col. 1, Line 10 - Col. 2, Line 4].

Conklin does not explicitly teach the portion of the accounting information is discarded to prevent an overload of subsequent processing. However, Engel, in the same field of network monitoring endeavor, discloses event manager (38) is managing the network and analyzing for statistical, accounting and alarm filtering and making decision on further action including discarding events and controlling in an overload situation [see Engel, Col. 12, Lines 7-67]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Engel into the teaching of Conklin in order to efficiently monitor and control the network account information for preventing the overload situation of information in the network.

Regarding claim 3, Conklin further teaches the accounting information is discarded for dealing with heavy network traffic (i.e., monitoring and analyzing the traffic communication) [see Fig. 6].

Regarding claim 4, Conklin further teaches generating a summary of the accounting information (i.e., reported of collected information and stored information in the database) [see Col. 4, Line 52 - Col. 5, Line 45].

Regarding claim 5, Conklin does not explicitly teach monitoring the at least one aspect of the received accounting information includes detecting a scan of a plurality of

Art Unit: 2155 Paper No. 17

Page 4

ports. However, Engel, in the same field of network monitoring endeavor, discloses event statistics including IP address and port numbers monitoring [see Engel, Col. 28, Lines 16-24]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Engel into the teaching of Conklin for scanning the ports in order to track down ongoing attacks and identifying potential intrusions on the network and system connected to the network.

Regarding claims 7-8, Conklin further teaches monitoring the at least one aspect of the received accounting information includes monitoring a rate of receipt of the accounting information and whether the rate of receipt of the accounting information exceeds a predetermined amount (i.e., monitoring and collecting network data such as traffic over time) [see Figs. 6-8 and Col. 4, Lines 30-67].

Regarding claim 9, Conklin does not explicitly teach monitoring the at least one aspect of the received accounting information includes monitoring a load on a system receiving the accounting information. However, Engel, in the same field of network monitoring endeavor, discloses monitoring and collecting statistic information such as traffic load [see Engel, Col. 10, Lines 57-67 and Col. 38, Lines 6-40]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Engel into the teaching of Conklin for monitoring a load on the system in order to avoid traffic congestion and overload problems.

Art Unit: 2155 Paper No. 17

Page 5

Regarding claim 10, Conklin further teaches the network includes the Internet (i.e., using TCP/IP suggests the network attached to the Internet) [see Col. 3, Lines 15-21].

Claim 11 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Claims 13-14 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claims 3-4, respectively.

Claims 16-17 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claims 7-8, respectively.

Claim 18 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 5.

Claim 19 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 9.

Claim 20 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Regarding claim 23, Conklin teaches a method for processing network accounting information, comprising receiving accounting information over a packet-switched network, monitoring at least one aspect of the received accounting information (= traffic information including attack data such as date/time, packet type, attack type source/destination addresses) [see Fig. 7], and discarding at least a portion of the accounting information based on the monitored aspect (i.e., network traffic measurement and monitoring for reporting information about captured packets and detecting intrusion into the network and into computers connected to the network for denial of service) [see Abstract and Figs. 6-9 and Col. 1, Line 10 - Col. 2, Line 4].

Art Unit: 2155 Paper No. 17

Page 6

Conklin further teaches generating a summary of the accounting information (i.e., reported of collected information and stored information in the database) [see Col. 4, Line 52 - Col. 5, Line 45], detecting a scan of a plurality of Internet Protocol (IP) addresses (i.e., detecting IP address) [see Col. 5, Lines 26-45 and Col. 6, Lines 44-60], and monitoring a rate of receipt of the accounting information and whether the rate of receipt of the accounting information exceeds a predetermined amount (i.e., monitoring and collecting network data such as traffic over time) [see Figs. 6-8 and Col. 4. Lines 30-67].

Conklin does not explicitly teach monitoring the at least one aspect of the received accounting information includes detecting a scan of a plurality of ports.

However, Engel, in the same field of network monitoring endeavor, discloses event statistics including IP address and port numbers monitoring [see Engel, Col. 28, Lines 16-24]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Engel into the teaching of Conklin for scanning the ports in order to track down ongoing attacks and identifying potential intrusions on the network and system connected to the network.

In addition, Conklin does not explicitly teach monitoring the at least one aspect of the received accounting information includes monitoring a load on a system receiving the accounting information. However, Engel, in the same field of network monitoring endeavor, discloses monitoring and collecting statistic information such as traffic load [see Engel, Col. 10, Lines 57-67 and Col. 38, Lines 6-40]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the

Art Unit: 2155 Paper No. 17

Page 7

teaching of Engel into the teaching of Conklin for monitoring a load on the system in order to avoid traffic congestion and overload problems.

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-5, 7-11, 13-14, 16-20 and 23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Other References Cited

- 5. The following references cited by the examiner but not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - A) Bullard, U.S. Pat. No. 6,625,657.
 - B) Schweitzer, U.S. Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2002/0038364 A1.
 - C) Bullard, U.S. Pat. No. 6,405,251.
- 6. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. FAILURE TO RESPOND WITHIN THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE WILL CAUSE THE APPLICATION TO BECOME ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). EXTENSIONS OF TIME MAY BE OBTAINED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF 37 CAR 1.136(A).

Art Unit: 2155

Paper No. 17

Page 8

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3991. The Group fax phone number is (703) 872-9306. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar, can be reached on (571) 272-4006.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip B. Tran Art Unit 2155 June 16, 2005